UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,700	04/04/2007	Michael Rosenbauer	2003P01957WOUS	3472
46726 7590 07/31/2008 BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 100 BOSCH BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			WALDBAUM, SAMUEL A	
NEW BERN, N	= =		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/583,700	ROSENBAUER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SAMUEL A. WALDBAUM	1792			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 13-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examines 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. □ accepted or b)□ objected to	-			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-		, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	animon riote and attached cines	7.00.017 017 1011117 10 102.			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/20/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/583,700 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

35 USC 112 6th paragraph

1. The applicant has invoked the means for clause of 35 USC 112 6th paragraph in claim

24. The structure for this claims is found paragraph 25.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Richmond et al (U.S. 5,873,518, hereafter `518).

3. Claims 13-17: `518 teaches a washing machine (fig. 1) with magnetic valves for controlling the hot and cold water lines (col. 3, line 50-col. 4, line 10) with a sensor integrated with the valve components (fig. 3, part 72, col. 5, lines 15-42), with a microprocessor (part 100, which is inherently a programable controller).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,700 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

5. The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459

(1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 18-23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richmond et al (U.S. 5,873,518) as evidenced by Hengelein et al (U.S. pgpub 2004/0140677, hereafter `677).

`518 teaches all the limitations of claim 13.

6. Claims 18-23: `518 teaches that all the components are connected to an integrated circuit (fig. 10, col. 6, line 20-col. 7, line 50), where the sensor has electrical connecting elements (fig. 6, part 78) and the valves have electrical connecting elements (fig. 2, parts 48 and 50). `518 does not teach how the elements are electrically coupled to the integrated circuit. The examiner takes official notices that there are many common means to connect elements to a circuit board and the board to the machine, for example, using wire connections, plugs, receiving ports for plugs, grouping elements to one port, where the circuit board itself can be plugged into contacts, as evidenced by `677 ([0021]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used different common means to connect the sensor and valves to the integrated circuit, and the circuit can be plugged into a operating position in the washing machine.

Claim 24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richmond et al (U.S. 5,873,518) as evidenced by Hengelein et al (U.S. pgpub 2004/0140677, hereafter `677) as applied to claim 22 above, further in view of in view of Faunce (U.S. 6,319,015, hereafter `015) and Roese (U.S. pgpub. 2005/0106924, hereafter `924).

`518 teaches all the limitations of claim 22.

7. Claim 23: See claims 1 and 18-22 above. `518 teaches that the valve and the sensor has multiple leads (fig. 2), as seen above common electrical couplers are plugs and receiving slots (see claims 18-22 above). `518 and the evidence `677 does not show using a spring snap fit to locate and lock the plug in place. `015 and `924 are both electrical connectors. `015 teaches using spring snap fit locators for the electrical contacts (fig. 1, parts 14). `924 teaches using spring snap fits on a plug (fig. 1, parts 6 and 7, [0021]) and that there are receiving elements located on the socket to receive the spring snap fit tabs ([0022]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have placed a spring snap fitting on the socket as taught by `015 and `924 and receiving ports for receiving the snap fits as taught by `924 in the coupling plugs of apparatus `518 as evidence by `677 to have yield the predictable result of proper placement of the plug in the socket when the spring snap fit falls within the receiving port.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAMUEL A. WALDBAUM whose telephone number is (571)270-1860. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TR 6:20-3:50, F 6:30-10:30 est.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,700 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Cleveland can be reached on 571-272-1418. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. A. W./

Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/FRANKIE L. STINSON/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792